Occupational Conditions and Well-Being of Indigenous Farmworkers

Stephanie Farquhar, PhD, Nargess Shadbeh, JD, Julie Samples, JD, Santiago Ventura, BS, and Nancy Goff, BS

Increasing numbers of indigenous farmworkers from Mexico and Guatemala have been arriving in the Pacific Northwest (indigenous people are not of Hispanic or Latino descent and migrate from regions with unique cultural and linguistic traditions). Multilingual project outreach workers administered surveys to 150 farmworkers in Oregon to assess health, occupational safety, and general living conditions. This research confirms the increasing presence of indigenous peoples in Oregon and characterizes differences between indigenous and Latino farmworkers' occupational and health needs. (Am J Public Health. 2008;98:XXX-XXX. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2007.124271)

There are an estimated 2.5 million farmworkers in the United States and, according to the National Agricultural Workers Survey, about 78% are immigrants. Mirroring a national trend, increasing numbers of new migrants have been arriving in Oregon from indigenous communities in Mexico. ^{2,3} Of the estimated 174 000 farmworkers in Oregon, indigenous farmworkers, with cultural and linguistic traditions distinct from Latinos, now compose approximately 40% of the farmworkers in Oregon. ^{3–5}

Farmworkers in the United States face economic and social challenges. Many endure substandard working and housing conditions. Approximately 20% of farmworkers live in employer-provided housing or in labor camps, many of which lack basic amenities such as hot water and heat. Farms are commonly geographically isolated from health and social services, and studies show that few farmworkers receive federally mandated

occupational health and safety training, elevating their risk of occupational-related injuries and illnesses. ⁶⁻⁸

Although the occupational health of migrant farmworkers is becoming increasingly well studied, there is a dearth of research specifically related to indigenous farmworkers. For example, indigenous workers may experience discrimination by both the mainstream US population and other migrant workers. This type of "double discrimination" may push indigenous workers into the most labor-intensive jobs and poorest housing conditions.9 Few agencies and organizations serving indigenous farmworkers have acquired the language skills or cultural competence necessary to assist these communities. Linguistically relevant occupational safety materials are difficult to develop because of the multiplicity of languages. Many indigenous languages have no contemporary or standard written form, and literacy levels are generally low, which presents challenges when selecting modalities (i.e., written, oral) for training materials.

We present survey results of the Promoting the Occupational Health of Indigenous Farmworkers Project, a in partnership with indigenous populations in Oregon to improve farmworkers' understanding of the hazards associated with agricultural work and to increase their access to economic, health, and social services. Funded by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, this 4-year project sought to better understand the unique occupational hazards of indigenous farmworkers and included representatives from the Oregon Law Center, Salud Medical Center, Pineros y Campesinos Unidos del Noroeste (Northwest Treeplanters and Farmworkers United), Portland State University School of Community Health, and Farmworker Justice.

METHODS

Closely following the principles of community-based participatory research, ¹⁰ a baseline survey was developed with the input of all project partners. Survey questions were created based on items previously validated with farmworkers, ^{2,4} and informed by the results of focus groups conducted earlier in the

project with indigenous farmworkers. ¹¹ The survey included 107 items that assessed demographic variables, language skills and preferences, health status, occupational exposures, pesticides knowledge and training, experiences with discrimination, and attitudes about work and community.

Multilingual project outreach workers administered surveys to Spanish- and indigenous language-speaking respondents. Participants were given the option to complete the interview in Spanish or by listening to a prerecorded version in Mixteco Alto, Mixteco Bajo, or Triqui (Copala). Project partners prerecorded the survey in the indigenous languages to ensure that the questions were culturally and linguistically appropriate for some of the indigenous languages most commonly spoken in Oregon and to increase the consistency of the survey administration. Surveys were conducted primarily at labor camps, but also at farmworkers' homes and community centers, between April and October 2006. This timeframe allowed the project to access workers in a variety of agricultural sectors based on seasonal schedules.

All survey participants received information about their rights as a participant before the survey was administered and agreed to participate by providing verbal consent. Participants were given a \$10 gift certificate to compensate for their time and participation.

SPSS version 11.5 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) was used to analyze the data, from which frequencies and descriptive statistics were computed for all survey items. Repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Pearson χ^2 test were used to examine differences between indigenous and nonindigenous farmworkers' responses. Post hoc analyses (using the Bonferroni test) were computed for significant ANOVAs to locate differences between categories.

RESULTS

Demographics and Health Status

A total of 150 surveys were completed with 76 indigenous and 74 nonindigenous, Latino survey participants; 32% of respondents were female. Results suggest linguistic and cultural diversity among survey respondents. Participants reported that they came from 98 different pueblos (towns) in Mexico, with the majority of indigenous workers originating from the

RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

regions of Oaxaca and Guerrero, and Latino workers originating from various parts of Mexico (Table 1). Twelve native languages were represented in our sample; all Latino workers spoke Spanish, and indigenous workers spoke mostly Mixteco Bajo, Zapoteco, and Triqui (Copala and Itunyoso).

Survey participants varied along demographic variables. Compared with nonindigenous workers, indigenous workers were younger (36.0 vs 32.5 years; P<.05), had less formal education in Mexico (5.2 vs 4.1 years; P<.05), and had been in both the United States and Oregon for a shorter period of time (11.4 vs 7.6 years, and 9.1 vs 6.4 years, respectively; P<.05). Both indigenous and Latino workers reported that they received less than 1 year of formal education in the United States.

The majority of farmworkers in Oregon lived in apartments (39%) or labor camps (33%). Indigenous workers lived in significantly more crowded conditions, with an average of 6.4 people per household compared with 4.6 people in Latino households. On average, both indigenous and Latino workers reported that they had few children, either in the United States (1.5 children) or in Mexico (1.1 children), indicating that households mainly comprised adults.

The types of jobs that respondents currently held were different for indigenous and Latino workers. A total of 40% of the indigenous workers hand harvested agricultural products from trees and the ground (called "pickers") compared with 19% of Latino workers. Latinos worked mostly in orchards (28%) and nurseries (24%), whereas fewer indigenous workers were employed in orchards (4%) and nurseries (19%). Although both indigenous and Latino workers said they were employed for an average of 8.6 months each year, indigenous people spent significantly less time during the year working in Oregon (6.1 vs 7.6 months; P < .05). Regardless of work type, only 22% of all respondents said the money they make is sufficient to support their families (including their families in Mexico), and most workers (77%) said it would be difficult or impossible to find work outside of agriculture. Workers were not asked about income because of the sensitive nature of income and legal status; however, the national hourly wage for farmworkers is approximately \$7.25.1

TABLE 1—Demographic Characteristics of Participant Farmworkers: Promoting the Occupational Health of Indigenous Farmworkers Project, Oregon, April-October 2006

	Total Sample (N=150), No. (%) or Mean	Nonindigenous Latino (n = 74), No. (%) or Mean	Indigenous (n = 76), No. (% or Mean				
Mexican state of origin*							
Oaxaca	88 (59)	25 (34)	63 (83)				
Michoacan	21 (14)	20 (27)	1 (1)				
Guerrero Other ge, y** ears of education in Mexico** ears of education in the United States	16 (11) 25 (17) 34.2 4.6 0.2	5 (7) 24 (32) 36.0 5.2 0.2	11 (14) 1 (1) 32.5 4.1 0.1				
				Years in the United States*	9.5	11.4	7.6
				Years in Oregon**	7.7	9.1	6.4
				Gender			
				Men	102 (68)	53 (72)	49 (64)
Women	48 (32)	21 (28)	27 (36)				
Type of residence	\ /		1/				
Apartment	58 (39)	30 (41)	28 (37)				
Labor camp	49 (33)	26 (36)	23 (30)				
House	27 (18)	12 (16)	15 (20)				
Trailer	10 (7)	1 (1)	9 (12)				
Other	5 (3)	4 (6)	1 (1)				
A production of the contract o	5.5	4.6	6.4				
No. of people in home*			and the second				
No. of children in United States	1.5	1.2	1.7				
No. of children in Mexico**	1.1	0.8	1.4				
Types of work*	24.40						
Orchard	24 (16)	21 (28)	3 (4)				
Nursery	32 (21)	18 (24)	14 (19)				
Cannery	21 (14)	13 (18)	8 (11)				
Picker	44 (30)	14 (19)	30 (40)				
Christmas tree farm	11 (7)	3 (4)	8 (11)				
Other	17 (11)	5 (7)	12 (16)				
Most hours worked weekly	48.3	48.9	47.7				
Least hours worked weekly	29.8	28.6	31.0				
Months worked in agriculture yearly	8.6	8.8	8.4				
Months worked in Oregon agriculture yearly**	6.8	7.6	6.1				
Worker thinks the money made is sufficient to support	33 (22)	20 (27)	13 (17)				
the family (including family in Mexico)							
Visited a health clinic in Oregon*	75 (50)	45 (62)	30 (39)				
Worker has health insurance option	19 (14)	11 (16)	8 (11)				
Self-reported health							
Excellent	9 (6)	4 (5)	5 (7)				
Good	43 (29)	25 (34)	18 (24)				
Fair	89 (59)	39 (53)	50 (66)				
Poor	9 (6)	6 (8)	3 (4)				
How difficult would it be to find work outside of agriculture?							
Impossible	14 (10)	5 (7)	9 (12)				
Very difficult	75 (52)	33 (47)	42 (58)				
Moderately difficult	22 (15)	13 (18)	9 (12)				
Somewhat easy	22 (15)	13 (18)	9 (12)				
Very easy	11 (8)	7 (10)	4 (6)				

Note. Percentages reported were calculated as a proportion of all respondents that answered the individual question.

^{*}Differences are significant at P<.01.

^{**}Differences are significant at P < .05.

TABLE 2—Pesticides and Occupational Health of Participant Farmworkers: Promoting the Occupational Health of Indigenous Farmworkers Project, Oregon, April-October 2006

	Total Sample (N = 150), No. (%)	Nonindigenous Latino (n = 74), No. (%)	Indigenous (n = 76), No. (%)
Reported currently working in pesticide-treated areas (n = 67)*	67 (48)	46 (65)	21 (31)
Received any pesticide training	38 (57)	25 (54)	13 (62)
Language of training video or presentation			
Spanish	28 (88)	18 (82)	10 (100)
English	1 (3)	1 (5)	0 (0)
Both	2 (6)	2 (9)	0 (0)
Language of written training materials			
Spanish	12 (63)	11 (79)	1 (20)
English	2 (11)	1 (7)	1 (20)
Bath	4 (21)	2 (14)	2 (40)
Exposure to pesticides			
Touched plants outdoors	58 (39)	30 (42)	28 (37)
Breathed in the air	90 (61)	46 (63)	44 (59)
Accidentally sprayed	51 (34)	25 (34)	26 (34)
Touched nursery plants	46 (32)	25 (35)	21 (28)
Worker understands Spanish well enough	130 (94)	71 (100)	59 (87)
to receive oral information*		•	
Worker understands Spanish well enough	73 (53)	46 (66)	27 (40)
to receive written information*			
Experienced language discrimination*	30 (20)	6 (8)	24 (32)

Note. Percentages reported were calculated as a proportion of all respondents that answered the individual question. *Differences are significant at P < .01.

Additional results suggest that the farmworkers surveyed do not frequently use health services. Only 39% of indigenous workers and 62% of Latino workers had ever been to a health clinic in Oregon, and only 14% of all workers had the option of obtaining health insurance through their employer. Lack of health services utilization is a concern because about 65% of workers said their health was "fair" or "poor." This is a lower rating than the general US Hispanic population, who on average, rate their health as "good." 12

Pesticides and Training

Pesticides pose a great risk to workers' health. Approximately half of the farmworkers interviewed (n=67) reported currently working in areas that are treated with pesticides, although it is likely that pesticides are applied at all worksites (see Table 2). Latino workers may have a greater awareness of pesticide use in their workplace, because they reported

working around pesticides significantly more than did indigenous workers (65% vs 31%; P<.01). Respondents said that they have breathed pesticides in the air (61%), touched plants with visible residue (39%), and have been accidentally sprayed by a plane or tractor (34%). Yet only 57% of the farmworkers who reported working in treated areas said they received any type of pesticide safety training.

All pesticide training discussed by workers had been conducted in Spanish and English. No training was conducted in any indigenous language. When asked about receiving health and safety information, 87% of indigenous workers said that they understood Spanish well enough to understand information presented orally, and only 40% said that they could understand Spanish well enough to understand written information. Other studies and results from this project's focus groups suggest that indigenous workers much prefer to receive materials and understand information more

completely when presented in their indigenous languages.²

Indigenous workers reported higher levels of discrimination experienced on the job. A total of 32% of indigenous workers reported discrimination for speaking their native language in the workplace, whereas only 8% of Latino workers experienced this problem for speaking Spanish. The specific source of the discrimination was not evaluated in the survey questionnaire. However, previous reports indicate that discrimination against indigenous workers exists from American and Latino supervisors, employers, and coworkers.⁹

DISCUSSION

There were several limitations to this study. Principally, the data are based on 150 survey interviews with farmworkers in Oregon. This small number may reduce the study's statistical power and the generalizability of study findings to other farmworkers who live outside of Oregon. However, interviews were conducted from spring through early fall of 2006 in an effort to include farmworkers in a variety of agricultural settings. Additionally, we included seasonal farmworkers who live in Oregon yearround, as well as migrant farmworkers who move between states and are more transient, to understand farmworkers' experiences outside this region.

Primary findings suggest that the farmworkers who participated in the survey are exposed to health-threatening conditions, including exposure to pesticides and discrimination, yet receive inadequate training about ways to protect themselves. Previous studies have found similar low levels of pesticides training^{2,6} and evidence of discrimination against indigenous farmworkers9 in the workplace. Indigenous farmworkers are especially at risk, because the training that is provided is presented in a language that they may not fully comprehend. A recent study of indigenous farmworkers in California reported that only 62% of women and 57% of men understood the pesticide training information given to them in the workplace.13 During years 3 and 4 of the Promoting the Occupational Health of Indigenous Farmworkers Project, partners will promote leadership among indigenous farmworkers by directly involving the farmworkers

RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

as promotores/as to develop educational materials and advocate for healthier occupational environments. The changing demographics of the agricultural workforce require development of suitable services and materials for indigenous farmworkers, as well as greater attention to this population's basic rights.

About the Authors

Stephanie Farquhar and Nancy Goff are with the School of Community Health, Portland State University, Portland, OR. Nargess Shadbeh, Julie Samples, and Santiago Ventura are with the Oregon Law Center, Woodburn.

Requests for reprints should be sent to Stephanie Farquhar, PhD, Portland State University, School of Community Health, PO Box 751, Portland, OR 97207 (e-mail: farquhar@pdx.edu).

This brief was accepted February 4, 2008.

Contributors

S. Farquhar facilitated development and implementation of research instruments and protocol, data analyses and interpretation, and article preparation. N. Shadbeh conceptualized the study and supervised all aspects of implementation and evaluation. N. Shadbeh, J. Samples, and S. Ventura provided expertise on farmworker communities in Oregon, contributed to development of instruments, conducted focus groups, administered surveys, interpreted data, and edited the article. N. Goff managed, analyzed, and interpreted the data, and contributed to article preparation and editing.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by a grant from the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (grant R25-OH008334-01).

The authors express their appreciation for Linda McCauley of the University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing, who served as project consultant.

Human Participant Protection

Human participant approval for this research was obtained from the institutional review board at Portland State University.

References

- 1. US Department of Labor. Findings from the National Agricultural Workers Survey (NAWS) 2001–2002: A Demographic and Employment Profile of United States Farm Workers. Washington, DC: US Dept of Labor; 2005. Research report no. 9.
- 2. McCauley L, Stickler D, Bryan C, Lasarev MR, Scherer JA. Pesticide knowledge and risk perception among adolescent Latino farmworkers. *J Agric Saf Health.* 2002;8:397–409.
- Stephen L. Mixtec farmworkers in Oregon: linking labor and ethnicity through farmworker unions and hometown associations. In: Fox J, Rivera-Salgado G, eds. Indigenous Mexican Migrants in the United States. San Diego: University of California, San Diego; 2004:179– 202.
- 4. McCauley L. Work characteristics and pesticide exposures among migrant agricultural families: a

community-based research approach. Environ Health Perspect. 2001;109:533-538.

- Larson A. Migrant and seasonal farmworker enumeration profiles study: Oregon. Portland, OR: Department of Human Services Migrant Health Office; 2002.
- Arcury TA, Quandt SA, Cravey AJ, Elmore RC, Russell GB. Farmworker reports of pesticide safety and sanitation in the work environment. *Am J Ind Med*. 2001;39:487–498.
- McCauley LA, Shapiro SE, Scherer JA, Lasarev MR. Assessing pesticide safety knowledge among Hispanic migrant farmworkers in Oregon. J Agric Saf Health. 2004;10:177-186.
- 8. Salazar MK, Keifer M, Negrete M, Estrada F, Synder K. Occupational risk among orchard workers: a descriptive study. Fam Community Health. 2005;28:239–252.
- Holmes SM. An ethnographic study of the social context of migrant health in the United States. PLoS Med. 2006;3:1776–1793.
- Israel B, Schulz A, Parker E, Becker A. Review of community-based research: assessing partnership approaches to improve public health. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 1998;19:173–202.
- 11. Farquhar S, Samples J, Ventura S, et al. Promoting the occupational health of indigenous farmworkers. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2008;10(3):269–280.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS).
 Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/index.htm.
 Accessed November 12, 2007.
- Cardenas E. Portrait of a laborer: Indigenous farmworkers in Santa Barbara County. Santa Barbara, CA: Central Coast Environmental Health Project; 2006.